

# Cleobury Mortimer Community Led Plan Questionnaire Analysis

## Overview

The data input and data analysis was carried out independently by Gill Porter and Sarah Roberts, the Community & Rural Development Team at Shropshire RCC.

Survey forms were distributed to approximately 1600 homes in the parish and the 417 responses equate to a 26.1% response rate. Questionnaires could be completed either on behalf of the household or individuals were able to submit their own responses. Questionnaires were returned either via online completion, or via pre-paid envelopes, or via collection boxes placed around the town.

Unless otherwise stated, all percentages are given as a percentage of the number of respondents to that question.

Figure 1 shows the age breakdown of those that responded to the questionnaire.

Age Breakdown	Number	%
16 or under	1	0.3
17 - 25	12	3.1
26 - 40	44	11.5
41 - 59	129	33.6
60 - 74	134	34.9
75 and over	64	16.7

Figure 1: age breakdown of respondents

Any comments made within the questionnaire have been reviewed, and anything that identifies an individual, either as having made the comment, or where comment is directed at a specific person, have been removed. In addition, all comments have been summarised for this report.

## Housing and Development

When asked what type of housing was needed by the community 53.3% of respondents selected the option for affordable housing for local people to buy or rent. An explanation of affordable housing was provided within the questionnaire, so that respondents were clear about what was meant by affordable housing.

Respondents were able to select as many responses as they wished for this question, and the results are shown in the figure 2. 27.4% of respondents felt that no housing was needed in the community. 63 respondents also made comments about the type of housing needed. The main concern raised, generating 15 comments, were about whether affordable housing would truly be for local people, and what the definition of local was. There was a view expressed in a number of these comments that previous affordable housing had been taken over by people from Ludlow, Kidderminster and further afield.

9 comments made were in support of no further housing siting the need for employment opportunities, improved infrastructure and housing, before further residential development should take place.